

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/046,257	01/16/2002	Mutsumi Matsumoto	1341.1118	3568
21171 7	7590 06/21/2006		EXAM	INER
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			GART, MATTHEW S	
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	ON, DC 20005		3625	
			DATE MAILED: 06/21/2000	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/046,257	MATSUMOTO, MUTSUMI				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Matthew S. Gart	3625				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap		1				
Period fo	• •						
WHIC - Externafter - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IDENTIFY IN THE MAILING IDENTIFY IDENTIFY IN THE MAILING IN THE MAILING IDENTIFY IN THE MAILING INTERPRETARY IN THE MAILING IN THE MAIL	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO te, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 A	April 2006.					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4) 🖂	• 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 7-14</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 7-14</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[_	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	· ·	received in this National Stage				
* 0	application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	` ' ''	received				
	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	tor the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment	tie)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date) 5) Notice of (6) Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 3625

DETAILED ACTION

Prosecution History Summary

Claims 1-4 and 7-14 are pending in the instant application. Claims 1, 2, 7-10, 13 and 14 were amended via the applicant's response filed on 4/5/2006.

Election/Restrictions

The applicant's argument pursuant the restriction requirement set forth in the office action mailed on 12/05/2005 is persuasive. Claim 14 is hereby rejoined and fully examined for patentability under 37 CFR 1.104.

Art Unit: 3625

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-4 and 7-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Moreno (Patent Application Publication No. 2002/0035515 A1).

Referring to claim 1. Moreno discloses a method of managing delivery goods when directly delivering goods from a deliverer (Moreno: paragraph 0053, "Depending upon the vendor providing the goods/services, delivery may be obtained by a designated deliverer, by a commercial deliverer, or by another entity.") to a location associated with a recipient (Moreno: paragraph 0053, "Next, the process continues with delivering the goods/service to the locker…") comprising:

- Receiving identification information for delivery goods entered by a deliverer
 when a recipient of the delivery goods is absent from the location (Moreno:
 paragraphs 0064 through 0065, "Upon arriving at the storage unit, the carrier
 appropriately provides the designated tracking code, access code, or other
 required verifications...");
- Extracting delivery goods information and recipient information relevant to the delivery goods identification information from a storage unit which stores the

Art Unit: 3625

delivery goods information and the recipient information corresponding to the delivery goods information (Moreno: paragraph 0066, "Upon delivering /picking-up the goods and securing the locker, the system then notifies the server..."); and

Notifying said recipient of the delivery goods information based on the recipient information while the recipient of the delivery goods is absent from the location, wherein the delivery goods information includes a message requesting the recipient to confirm as to whether the recipient approves or rejects delivery of the goods by the deliverer to the location associated with the recipient (Moreno: paragraph 0095, "Referring again to FIG. 6B, when a customer signs-in with the server, via a kiosk or otherwise (for example, via a remote connection established with a PDA, telephone or other device), and selects the Pick-Up Delivery button 604, the server suitably identifies to the customer whether a signature is or is not required to accept the goods, as shown in FIG. 6C. Information about the carrier and/or the vendor providing the goods is also provided to the customer, in certain embodiments of the present invention. The customer may then appropriately 'sign' for the goods.... Based upon the requirements of the shipping arrangements specified by the vendor and/or the carrier, a refusal to provide a signature may result in the delivery to the customer being denied and access to the goods in the locker withheld until such signature is provided.").

Referring to claim 2. The limitations of claim 2 closely parallel those of claim 1.

Claim 2 is rejected under the same rationale as set forth above in claim 1.

Referring to claim 3. Moreno further discloses a method of managing delivery goods, comprising:

- Further storing information for a deliverer corresponding to the delivery goods information in said storage unit and receiving the delivery goods identification information and reception approval/refusal information entered by said recipient (Moreno: paragraph 0097, "This screen 614 also enables a customer to refuse a delivery (for example, because the pizza is cold). When a delivery is refused, the server appropriately notifies the vendor and/or carrier responsible for such delivery and coordinates a pick-up of such goods by such vendor/carrier from the locker as necessary.");
- Extracting the deliverer information relevant to the delivery goods identification information from said storage unit', and notifying said deliverer of the reception approval/refusal information based on the deliverer information (Moreno: paragraph 0097).

Referring to claim 4. Moreno further discloses a method of managing delivery goods, comprising:

 Further storing information for a client corresponding to the delivery goods information in said storage unit, and extracting the delivery goods information Application/Control Number: 10/046,257

Art Unit: 3625

and client information relevant to the delivery goods identification from said storage unit (Moreno: paragraph 0095 through paragraph 0097); and

 Notifying said client of the reception approval/refusal information based on the client information (Moreno: paragraph 0095 through paragraph 0097).

Referring to claim 7. The limitations of claim 7 closely parallel those of claims 1-

4. Claim 7 is rejected under the same rationale as set forth above in claims 1-4.

Referring to claim 8. The limitations of claim 8 closely parallel those of claims 1-

4. Claim 8 is rejected under the same rationale as set forth above in claims 1-4.

Referring to claim 9. The limitations of claim 9 closely parallel those of claims 1-

4. Claim 9 is rejected under the same rationale as set forth above in claims 1-4.

Referring to claims 10-12. The limitations of claims 10-12 closely parallel those of claims 1-4. Claims 10-12 are rejected under the same rationale as set forth above in claims 1-4.

Referring to claim 13. Moreno discloses a method in a data processing system for managing the delivery of an item to a receiver comprising:

 Determining that delivery attempted to deliver an item to a receiver (Moreno: paragraph 0095 through paragraph 0097);

Art Unit: 3625

 Determining that the deliverer did not deliver the item because the deliverer could not get approval from the receiver (Moreno: paragraph 0095 through paragraph 0097);

- Notifying the receiver of the delivery attempt (Moreno: paragraph 0095 through paragraph 0097); and
- Determining whether the receiver approves or rejects delivery (Moreno: paragraph 0095 through paragraph 0097).

Referring to claim 14. The limitations of claim 14 closely parallel those of claims 1-4. Claim 14 is rejected under the same rationale as set forth above in claims 1-4. Moreno further discloses a method of operating a data processing system, wherein the user is registered (Moreno: paragraph 0057).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 4/05/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The applicants argue that Moreno does not teach requesting a recipient to confirm as to whether the recipient approves or rejects delivery of goods by the deliverer to a location associated with the recipient while the recipient is absent from the location.

The Examiner notes, Moreno discloses wherein a customer (i.e. recipient) signsin with the server, via a kiosk or otherwise (for example, via a remote connection
established with a PDA, telephone or other device). The server suitably identifies to the
customer whether a signature is or is not required to accept the goods, as shown in
FIG. 6C. Information about the carrier and/or the vendor providing the goods (i.e.
deliverer) is also provided to the customer, in certain embodiments of the present
invention. The customer may then appropriately "sign" for the goods. Based upon the
requirements of the shipping arrangements specified by the vendor and/or the carrier, a
refusal to provide a signature may result in the delivery to the customer being denied
and access to the goods in the locker (i.e. location associated with a recipient) withheld
until such signature is provided (Moreno: paragraph 0095).

The Examiner further notes, screen **614** <u>enables a customer to refuse a delivery</u>. When a delivery is refused, the server appropriately notifies the vendor and/or carrier

Art Unit: 3625

responsible for such delivery and coordinates a pick-up of such goods by such vendor/carrier from the locker as necessary (Moreno: paragraph 0097).

The applicants argue that Moreno does not teach details regarding goods that are delivered from a deliverer to a location associated with the recipient of the goods.

The Examiner notes, Moreno explicitly discloses a system and a process for securing goods <u>delivered to and/or retrieved from</u> a secure storage unit (Moreno: abstract), whereby the storage unit is a location associated with a recipient.

Art Unit: 3625

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew S. Gart whose telephone number is 571-273-3955. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 9-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeff SMith can be reached on 571-272-6763. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MSG

Primary Examiner June 12, 2006

MA